IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Jun Nishikawa et al.) Group Art Unit: 2881
Application No.: 10/583,607) Examiner: Johnston, Phillip A.
Filed: June 20, 2006) Confirmation No.: 2625
For: PROJECTION OPTICAL SYSTEM AND PROJECTION- TYPE IMAGE DISPLAY APPARATUS))))
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	

Sir:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In an Office Action mailed January 22, 2009, the period for response to which extends through February 22, 2009, the Examiner required restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121 between the following groups of claims:

- Group I Claims 1-13, characterized by the Examiner as drawn to a projection optical system, classified in class 353, subclass 69; and
- Group II Claims 14-20, characterized by the Examiner as drawn to a projection-type image display apparatus, classified in class 359, subclass 618.

In reply, Applicants provisionally elect to prosecute Group II, claims 14-20.

Customer No. 22,852 Application No. 10/583,607 Attorney Docket No. **09812.0098**

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: February 19, 2009 By: ___/Michael R. Kelly/

Michael R. Kelly Reg. No. 33,921